

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/789,709 | 02/27/2004 | Guido Canzona | P314651 | 7580 |
| 55132 7590 05/18/2007 WILDMAN HARROLD ALLEN & DIXON LLP AND THE BOEING COMPANY 225 W. WACKER DR. CHICAGO, IL 60606 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | KESSLER, CHRISTOPHER S | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 1742 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/18/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/789,709 | CANZONA ET AL. | CANZONA ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | 1 | | |

| | Christopher Kessler | 1742 | |
|---|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communicati | on appears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence add | dress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>15 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH | HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior this application, applicant must timely file one of places the application in condition for allowance; a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in c time periods: | r to or on the same day as filing a Not the following replies: (1) an amendme (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fe | tice of Appeal. To avoid ab ent, affidavit, or other evide ee) in compliance with 37 C | nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the ma | ailing date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing dat no event, however, will the statutory period for replexaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either both TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See | ly expire later than SIX MONTHS from the pox (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHI | mailing date of the final reject | tion. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the peunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration daset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Cmay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFF | The date on which the petition under 37 Ceriod of extension and the corresponding a stee of the shortened statutory period for reporting that the main three months after the main three main three months after the main three main thr | mount of the fee. The appropoly originally set in the final Off | riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | 6 in an and in an an internal of OFD 44 07 and | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS | any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37 | (e)), to avoid dismissal of the | he appeal. Since |
| | ejection, but prior to the data of filing : | a brief will not be entered t | ancauso. |
| 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final re (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require ft (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NC (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without can | urther consideration and/or search (second); ion in better form for appeal by matericaling a corresponding number of finaterical celing and celing a corresponding number of finaterical celing and celing a celing accordance celing and celing a cel | ee NOTE below); | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4 | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 | | lon-Compliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. $igsqcup$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej | · · —— | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | • |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendme how the new or amended claims would be rejected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-32. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 33-34. | ed is provided below or appended. | ■ will be entered and an | explanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final a because applicant failed to provide a showing of was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e) | good and sufficient reasons why the | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date entered because the affidavit or other evidence for showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is no | ailed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under necessary and was not earlier present | appeal and/or appellant fa ted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| nils to provide a (1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An ex REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | xplanation of the status of the claims a | after entry is below or attac | hed. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered. | dered but does NOT place the applica | ation in condition for allowa | ince because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater 13. ☐ Other: | ment(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| - | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Limitations added to claim 1 via the amendment of 15 May 2007 raise new issues for examination that were not presented in the claims as finally rejected in the Office Action mailed on 15 March 2007.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Kessler whose telephone number is (571) 272-6510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

csk

roy King Supervisory patent examiner

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700